## **De-Population: Sterilization**

Barren Women Martin G. Collins Given 28-Jan-12; Sermon #1085c

In the 1927 US Supreme Court case, Buck versus Bell, the High Court held that the sterilization of certain groups such as the mentally retarded could be considered to be in the public good and as such was permissible.

Now, in this installment of my depopulation theme, I want to briefly expose you to the elites ongoing movement to sterilize people who they label as undesirables. And that is a very broad term. It affects millions. The person now in control of science policy in the United States has advocated forced abortions, mass sterilization, and a planetary regime with the power of life and death over American citizens.

These ideas, among many other equally horrifying recommendations, were put forth by John Holdren, whom Barack Obama appointed as Director of the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy. He is assistant to the President for Science and Technology and co-chair of the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology. He is informally known as the United States Science Czar. In a book Holdren co-authored in 1977, the man now firmly in control of science policy in this country wrote that,

Women could be forced to abort their pregnancies, whether they wanted to or not. The population at large could be sterilized by infertility drugs, intentionally put into the nation's drinking water or in food. Single mothers and teen mothers should have their babies seized from them against their will and given away to other couples to raise. People who contribute to social deterioration, that is, undesirables, can be required by law to exercise reproductive responsibility. [So in other words, be compelled to have abortions or be sterilized. And then the last item that stands out]: A transnational planetary regime should assume control of the global economy and also dictate the most intimate details of Americans lives using an armed international police force.

That is what the signs are that the United States proposed.

This idea of sterilization of human beings began in modern times with the eugenics movement of the early 20th century. According to Wikipedia, under the article Eugenics,

Eugenics was practiced around the world and was promoted by governments and influential individuals and institutions. Its advocates regarded it as a social philosophy for the improvement of human hereditary traits through the promotion of higher reproduction of certain people and traits, and the reduction of reproduction of other people and traits. Today, it is widely regarded as a brutal movement which inflicted massive human rights violations on millions of people. The interventions advocated and practiced by eugenicists involve prominently the identification and classification of individuals and their families, including the poor, mentally ill, blind, deaf, developmentally disabled, promiscuous women, homosexuals, and entire race groups, such as the Roma and Jews, as degenerate or unfit. The segregation or institutionalization of such individuals and groups, their sterilization, euthanasia, and in the extreme case of Nazi Germany, their mass extermination.

And we are now seeing the return of last century's eugenicist movement through the popular promotion of sterilization as a method of birth control. A popular women's magazine in the UK featured an article entitled, "Young, Single, and Sterilized," in which women in their twenties discussed why they had undergone an operation to prevent them from ever having children. The article is little more than a PR for a women's charity called Maria Stopes International, an organization that carries out abortions and sterilizations and was founded by a Nazi eugenicist who advocated compulsory sterilization of non-whites and those of bad character. If you do not agree with the government, then you are a person of bad character.

In the article, sterilization is lauded as an excellent method of birth control by Dr. Patricia Lore of the British Pregnancy Advisory Service. Now, the article includes an advertisement that encourages women to seek "more information about sterilization by contacting Marie Stopes International." The article reads,

Over the past year, a quarter of the women who booked a sterilization consultation with women's charity Maria Stopes, were at age 30 or under." Marie Stopes was a feminist who opened the first birth control clinic in Britain in 1921, as well as being a Nazi sympathizer and a eugenicist who advocated that non-whites and the poor be sterilized. Stopes, a racist and an anti-Semite, campaigned for selective breeding to achieve racial purity, a passion she shared with Adolf Hitler in adoring letters and poems that she sent to the leader of the Third Reich. She also attended the Nazi Congress on Population Science in Berlin in 1935. While calling for the compulsory sterilization of the diseased, drunkards, or simply those of bad character.

Now, Stopes acted on her appalling theories by concentrating her abortion clinics in poor areas so as to reduce the birthrate of the lower classes, and she left most of her estate to the Eugenic Society, an organization that shared her passion for racial purity and still exists today under the new name, the Galton Institute. Ominously, the Galton Institute website promotes its support and funding initiative for the "Practical delivery of family planning facilities, especially in developing countries." In other words, the same organization that once advocated sterilizing black people to achieve racial purity in the same vein as the Nazis, is now bankrolling abortions of black babies in the third world.

Ted Turner contributed billions to population reduction, namely through the United Nations programs, leading the way for the likes of Bill and Melinda Gates and Warren Buffett. Gates' father had long been a leading board member of Planned Parenthood and a top eugenicist.

Another shocking fact is that the majority of people in the UK routinely express their support for society's undesirables to be forcibly sterilized by the state, harking back to a time when such a thing was commonplace, right up to the 1970s and 80s, and in some areas of America and Europe. One person in the UK publicly stated, "Just imagine what a stable well-ordered society we'd have if compulsory sterilization had been adopted years ago for the

socially undesirable." And that seems to be the whole mood of the British people. The nature of this comment is so fundamentally sick and twisted that one is tempted to dismiss it as a joke, but this person is deadly serious. Presumably, they would also agree with China's one-child policy which is routinely enforced by intimidation, as young pregnant women are grabbed off the streets by state thugs and taken to hospitals where forced abortions are carried out.

Popular women's magazines advise women in their twenties where they can go to be sterilized and ensure a lifetime of partying and carefree sex. It is no surprise that an estimated one in four Western women are child free for life. One in four Western women have been sterilized

Lest anyone think that such things do not happen here listen to this: According to the November 7th, 2011, Rock Center of MSNBC article by Michelle Kessel and Jessica Hopper entitled, "Victims Speak Out about North Carolina Sterilization Program Which Targeted Women, Young Girls, and Blacks":

North Carolina was one of 31 states to have a government run eugenics program. By the 1960s, tens of thousands of Americans were sterilized as a result of these programs.

## Another quote,

A third of the sterilizations performed in North Carolina were done on girls under the age of 18. Some were as young as nine years old.

While North Carolina's Eugenics Board was disbanded in 1977, the law allowing involuntary or forced sterilization was not officially repealed until 2003 so that they could continue to do it in secret. The UN, out of concern for its own image, has condemned forced sterilization as genocide, and rightly so. However, the elite have quietly altered their methods of sterilization to include voluntary sterilization, vaccines, viruses, chemicals, radiation, and the development of new technologies, as well as by stealth and covertly still doing forced of sterilizations.

According to a May 28th, 2010 article by Mike Adams of NaturalNews.com entitled, "Bill Gates Funds Covert Vaccine Nanotechnology":

The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is gaining a reputation for funding technologies designed to roll out mass sterilization and vaccination programs around the world. One of the programs recently funded by the foundation is a sterilization program that would use sharp blasts of ultrasound directly against a man's scrotum to render him infertile for six months. It might accurately be called a temporary castration technology.

Mankind's perverse ingenuity has no moral limits and we just cannot imagine how far they will go.

Now, the contrast between God's plan of salvation and Satan's plan of depopulation are as diametric as light and darkness and good and evil. As the elite work to try to sterilize as many women as they can, many women are unaware that various destructive methods are being used to purposely make them barren.

Nevertheless, God does provide hope and encouragement regarding His perpetual covenant of peace that will bless Israel and the church with abundant progeny. In Isaiah 54, Isaiah uses the image of the woman that was barren. And the idea is that there should never again be a time when she feels shame as she felt when she had no children. God commands His people to prepare joyfully for the future, even though relatively few children are being produced now.

In the meantime, the present task of God's people is to labor in expectancy. God tells us about His perpetual covenant of peace with Israel and the church in Isaiah 54:1-7 and that we are to be prepared for more people to be added to His Family at a later time. Now because my time was cut short earlier, I am not going to read the scripture there.

God sometimes appears to forsake His people Israel and the church. Yet comparatively, it will be for only a moment. He will remember His perpetual covenant of peace. And however long our trials may seem to be, yet

compared with His subsequent mercies and the special treatment that will result from them, our sorrows will seem to exist only for the blink of an eye compared with eternal life.

The idea in Isaiah 54 is that there would be a great increase, a much greater increase than Israel and the church had any reason to imagine. It is all as if a promise was made to a barren woman that she would have more children than those who were married usually had. So under God's covenant of peace with Israel, the church and the church, they will ultimately be greatly enlarged.

Well, the lesson for us today is that we are to prepare joyfully for the future because there is only joy to be had in that future. And what a future that is! We certainly long for God's Kingdom to be established on earth. We look forward to it without words to express it.