

What Will Happen To Liars?

Present Political Lying- Why?

John W. Ritenbaugh

Given 09-Jun-12; Sermon #1106c

I want to reason with you right at the beginning here as I make this commentary. In John 8:44 Jesus designate Satan as a liar who, when he speaks, he speaks (Jesus said) from his own resources, because he is a liar and the father of it. When Jesus said Satan speaks from his own resources, it is an indication that is all Satan has within him. In Satan, there is no unadulterated, no pure truth within him. Every thought generated from his heart is ulterior, misleading, and self-serving, and he is the arch-deceiver. It is his intent to capture every soul through lying, and to make that person his slave.

By way of contrast, Jesus, in John 14:6 describes Himself as truth—that is, the embodiment of truth. In John 8:32, He says that truth sets free. If truth is believed and used and sets one free, than the opposite—lying—enslaves.

This reveals the truth of I John 5:19 that the whole world lies under the sway of the devil, meaning the whole world is enslaved by the devil. Indeed, this present evil world was founded upon a sneaky lie, uttered by Satan to Adam and Eve. So detestable is lying to God that in Revelation 21:8, He states that all liars shall have their part in the Lake of Fire.

Jeremiah puts his finger on the heart of man, and declares that man's "heart is deceitful above all things and desperately wicked" [Jeremiah 17:9]. Mankind has clearly been affected with the lying disease by means of contact with Satan's and his minions.

Thomas Jefferson—I think you've all heard of him—said in a letter to a friend named Peter Carr,

He who permits himself to tell a lie once finds it much easier to do it a second and a third time, till it becomes habitual. He tells lies without attending to it and truths without the world believing him. The falsehood of the tongue leads to that of the heart, and in time, deprives all its good dispositions.

Thomas Jefferson put his finger on three important truths in that statement:

- 1) The source of lying is the heart.
- 2) Lying is addictive to the liar.
- 3) The fruit of lying is the person makes himself unbelievable.

A modern man by the name of William Paul Young . . . you've probably never heard of him, but he is the author of a popular book titled *The Shack*. Don't bother getting it to read because it's not all that good, but he did say something very interesting within it. He gives a major reason why people lie:

Lies are a little fortress. Inside them, you [the liar], feel safe and powerful. Through your little fortress of lies, you try to run your life and manipulate others. But the fortress needs

walls, so you build some. These are the justifications for your lies. You know, like you are doing this to protect someone you love, to keep them from feeling pain—whatever works, just so you feel okay about it.

Very interesting quote.

The major damage that is done through lying is the destruction of trust. If trust among people is destroyed, how can there possibly be any peaceful relationship? A relationship may continue on after a lie is revealed, but it will never be the same, and may even destroy the relationship entirely.

I'm going to shift gears here a little bit. I've laid a bit of a foundation.

A president must be an American, according to the Constitution. As early as June, 2008—that's four years ago—I mentioned to you in a commentary just like this that there were already doubts expressed by sincere people that Barack Obama was not born in Hawaii, as he claimed, because these people could not find any adequate records to prove that ["A Strange Campaign" and "Truth Is Fallen in the Street"]. It's four years later. No records have yet been found.

Where an American is born is less of an issue because the Constitution does not stipulate that. It does, however, stipulate the president must be born of two American citizens. Mr. Obama can in no way fulfill that requirement and become president because his father never became a citizen. But he is president. That is a fact. He is president on the basis of a lie. His father spent time here only to go to college, and then he returned to Kenya and was employed by the Kenyan government.

The lie perpetrated is not only Barack Obama's, but has spread now to virtually the entire Democratic Party hierarchy in order to cover their poor judgment. The web of lies has grown so massive, it has brought into insignificance the lying that took place during the Nixon administration in the 1970s and brought him to resignation his co-liars to jail.

In order to cover for Barack Obama, virtually everything possible has been done to hide his college and university records. I'm not talking just about his grades, but also, in a sense, more seriously, where did the funding come from for him to attend these highfalutin' universities like Harvard? Did he receive aid from a government grant as a *foreign* student? That's a question that has not been answered because people are trying so hard to hide information.

Why does he have an illegal Social Security number from a state in which he never in his life lived in long enough to claim residency? This is a serious federal infraction that would land an ordinary citizen in jail.

Listen to this: He claims his name is African Swahili. Not true—his name is Arabic. *Barack* means "blessed." His middle name, *Hussein*, is also Arabic. You know the family Hussein? They are the rulers of Jordan. They are Arabic people. *Obama* is an Arabic name, not African at all. Mr Obama is the first Arab-American president, not the first black president. Genetically, researchers have been able to get this: Barack Obama is 50% Caucasian from his mother's side, 43.75% Arabic and 6.25% African Negro from his father's side. Though his father was from Kenya, his father's family was mainly Arabic. Barack Obama's father was only 12.5% African Negro and 87.5% Arabian. His father's Kenyan birth certificate clearly states—it's available, not like Barack's—that he is Arab.

My question is, why do they have to lie about these things? What difference, in a way, does his ancestry make?

Just this past week, Barack Obama's supporters in the Democratic Party have categorically denied that he was ever a member of the New Party Chicago organization, which thought to elect members to public office with the aim of moving the Democratic Party far leftward, and it has been shown that it is from the new Party that he has gotten his own political agenda. They are identical. Proof has now been dug up that reveals that he absolutely was a member of that political party, even to his signing of a contract. He wrote his name in ink, promising public support wherever he would be in office.

I've barely scratched the surface of things publicly stated about himself or what he has done. At this point, I can only guess that the man is addicted and he cannot help himself. But in the meanwhile, you see, there is an effect from all this: The entire nation is psychologically enslaved and milling in uncertainty from all the conflict that his birth gives life to.